ORIGINA ORE THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMM



2

DOUG LITTLE

BOB STUMP

BOB BURNS

TOM FORESE

ANDY TOBIN

CHAIRMAN

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

1

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2016 MAY 11 P 12: 55

RECEIVED

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF ARIZONA WATER COMPANY, AN ARIZONA CORPORATION, FOR A DETERMINATION OF THE FAIR VALUE OF ITS UTILITY PLANT AND PROPERTY, AND **ADJUSTMENTS** TO ITS **RATES AND** CHARGES FOR UTILITY **SERVICE** FURNISHED BY ITS WESTERN GROUP FOR **CERTAIN RELATED** APPROVALS.

Docket No. W-01445A-15-0277

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

MAY 1 1 2016

DOCKETED BY

RUCO'S NOTICE OF FILING

The RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE ("RUCO") hereby provides notice of filing the Direct Testimony of David P. Tenney in support of the Settlement Agreement, in the above referenced matter.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 11th day of May, 2016.

Daniel W. Pozefsk

Chief Counsel

2	of the foregoing filed this 11 th day of May, 2016 with:	
3	Docket Control	
4	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington	
5	Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
6	COPIES of the foregoing e-mailed this 11 th day of May, 2016 to:	
7	Wes Van Cleve Legal Division	Michele Van Quathem
8	Arizona Corporation Commission 1200 West Washington	Law Office of Michele Van Quather 7600 N. 15 th St., Suite 150-30
9	Phoenix, Arizona 85007 wvancleve@azcc.gov	Phoenix, Arizona 85020 mvq@rcalaw.com
10	E. Robert Spear	
11	General Counsel Arizona Water Company	
12	Post Office Box 29006 Phoenix, Arizona 85038-9006	By <u>Chery Fraulob</u> Cheryl Fraulob
13	espear@azwater.com	Oneryty radiob
14	Joseph Harris Arizona Water Company	
15	P.O. Box 29006 Phoenix, Arizona 85038-9006	
16	jharris@azwater.com	
17	Steven A. Hirsch Quarles & Brady LLP	
18	Two North Central Avenue One Renaissance Square	
19	Phoenix, AZ 85004	
20	Steven.hirsch@quarles.com	
21	Greg Patterson Munger Chadwick	
22	916 W. Adams, Suite 3 Phoenix, Arizona 85007	
23	greg@azcpa.org	
24		
	i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	

ARIZONA WATER COMPANY DOCKET NO. W-01445A-15-0277

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

DAVID P. TENNEY

IN SUPPORT OF THE

SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

ON BEHALF OF THE
RESIDENTIAL UTILITY CONSUMER OFFICE

Direct Settlement Testimony of David Tenney Arizona Water Company Docket No. W-01445A-15-0277

7

8

1	Table of Contents	
2	EXECUTIVE SUMMARYi	
3	INTRODUCTION1	
4	THE SETTLEMENT PROCESS2	
5	SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY3	
6	SETTLEMENT PROVISIONS4	

PUBLIC INTEREST......5

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Arizona Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO") presents the direct testimony of Mr. David Tenney, Director of RUCO, in support of the Proposed Settlement Agreement ("Settlement" or "Agreement") on Arizona Water Company's (Western Region) request for a permanent rate increase. Mr. Tenney recommends that the Arizona Corporation Commission adopt the Proposed Settlement Agreement for the following reasons:

The Proposed Settlement Agreement reflects an outcome that is fair to both the ratepayer and Arizona Water Company and is in the public interest.

The Proposed Settlement Agreement is a comprehensive settlement agreement. Its terms settle a wide range of issues that were of interest to the parties.

RUCO supports the Proposed Settlement Agreement in its entirety because it contains numerous benefits to the consumer which will be discussed in Mr. Tenney's testimony.

The Proposed Settlement Agreement resolves several areas of importance to RUCO in the underlying rate case including; 1) Deferral of tank maintenance costs and Nitrate plant construction and operating costs for consideration of recovery in a future rate case; 2) Cost of Equity of 10.0 percent; 3) Company to adjust amortization period for M&I CAP charges from 20 years to 25 years; 4) AWC will maintain its accumulated depreciation balances and depreciation calculations in accordance with the Arizona Administrative Code; 5) The Company will maintain its account structure in accordance with the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners ("NARUC") Uniform System of Accounts ("USOA"); and 6) AWC agreed that going forward it will use its best good faith efforts to reduce its cost of debt, and that any future debt will be prudently incurred under the most reasonable terms and lowest cost reasonably available. These issues were addressed satisfactorily in the Proposed Settlement Agreement and will be explained more fully in Mr. Tenney's testimony.

INTRODUCTION

- Q. Please state your name, occupation and business address for the record.
 - A. My name David Tenney. I am Director for the Arizona Residential Utility

 Consumer Office ("RUCO"). My business address is 1110 W. Washington

 Street, Suite 220, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

Q. Please state your background and qualifications for the record.

- A. I joined RUCO in March of 2015. I served on the Navajo County Board of Supervisors, representing rural Arizona, from 2004 through 2015. I served as president of the County Supervisors Association of Arizona and was Chairman of the Navajo County Board of Supervisors. In addition, I have served on a number of local, state and national committees, including the Natural Resources Working Group, the Navajo County Regional Development Council, the Silver Creek Watershed Alliance Board, the County Supervisors' Association Legislative Policy Committee, Eastern Arizona Counties Organization, Environmental Economic Communities Organization and the Four Forest Restoration Initiative Steering Committee.
- Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- A. The purpose of my testimony is to explain RUCO's support of the Arizona Water Company's Western Region Settlement Agreement. ("Agreement").

Q. Have you participated in other settlement negotiations?

A. Yes. I have participated in settlement negotiations in other matters that have come before the Arizona Corporation Commission ("ACC" or "Commission"). These negotiations have resulted in reaching an accord with the utility and the other settling parties, leading to the signing and support of a settlement agreement.

THE SETTLEMENT PROCESS

- Q. Was the negotiation process that resulted in the Settlement Agreement a proper and fair process?
- A. Yes. The Agreement is the result of several hours of negotiation and a willingness among the parties to compromise. The negotiations were conducted in a fair and reasonable way that allowed each party the opportunity to participate. Furthermore, all parties were allowed to express their positions fully.

- Q. Did all the parties sign the Agreement?
- A. Yes. All parties in this case have agreed to this Settlement.

- Q. Why is a negotiated settlement process an appropriate way to resolve this matter?
- A. A settlement agreement, by its very nature, finds an acceptable middle ground that the parties can support. All parties that participated in the

ACC's regulatory processes.

Settlement negotiations began only after each party had the opportunity to analyze AWC's filing for its Western Region, file its direct and rebuttal testimonies, and read the direct testimony of other Interveners. Of course, the Agreement in no way eliminates the ACC's constitutional right and duty to review this matter and to make its own determination whether the Agreement is truly balanced and the rates are just and reasonable.

settlement talks were sophisticated parties who participated fully in the

SUMMARY OF TESTIMONY

Q. Please summarize your testimony.

Α.

AWC's Western Region and is in the public interest. Furthermore, this is a

The Agreement reflects an outcome that is fair to both the consumer and

comprehensive agreement that by its very terms settle a wide range of

issues that were of interest to the parties.

RUCO supports the Agreement because it contains numerous benefits to the consumer. Those benefits include; 1) deferral of tank maintenance expense and Nitrate plant construction costs and operating expenses to a future rate case for consideration of recovery; 2) cost of equity of 10.0 percent; 3) increasing the deferral period on M&I CAP charges from 20 years to 25 years; 4) requiring the Company to comply with the Arizona

SETTLEMENT PROVISIONS

Q. In summary, what are the benefits to the residential consumer?

forward AWC will use its best efforts to reduce its cost of debt.

A. Among the more significant benefits to the residential consumer:

explained further in the following section in my testimony

Deferral of tank maintenance costs and Nitrate plant construction costs
and operating expense. AWC was requesting that tank maintenance
costs be collected annually in even amounts for a period of fourteen
years and recovery of nitrate plant construction costs and expenses as
the plant was completed and placed in service but prior to the next rate
case filing. The Company has agreed to defer these costs until the
next rate case filing. (Section 5.0 and Section 6.2)

Rules and Regulations in maintaining proper depreciation accounting

records; 5) requiring the Company to comply with the proper version of

Uniform System of Accounts ("USOA") as published by the National

Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners ("NARUC"); and 6) going

These benefits are addressed satisfactorily in the Agreement and will be

Cost of Equity of 10.0% - In its initial filing AWC requested a 10.75%
 Cost of Equity. As per the Settlement, the parties agreed to a 10.0%
 Cost of Equity. (Section 3.1)

1

- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18

19

20

21

22

23

PUBLIC INTEREST

- Q. How is the public interest satisfied by the Agreement?
- A. The Agreement satisfies the public interest from RUCO's perspective in that it provides favorable terms and protections for residential consumers as defined above. The Agreement also satisfies the public interest by

- The Company agreed to adjust the amortization period of the M&I CAP charges from 20 years to 25 years. (Section 6.4)
 - Compliance with Arizona Administrative Code Accumulated Depreciation balances will be maintained in accordance with the requirements as set forth in the Arizona Administrative Code. A depreciation study will be performed and accumulated depreciation balances will be maintained in accordance with Arizona's requirements and will be in place for all rate case filings with a test year ending in 2017 and thereafter. (Section 6.8)
- The Signatory Parties agree that AWC shall convert its Uniform System of Accounts ("USOA") to the 1996 version of NARUC USOA, but will continue using the current version until filing its next rate case that uses a 2017 test year.
- AWC agrees that going forward it will use its best good faith efforts to reduce its cost of debt, and that any future debt will be prudently incurred under the most reasonable terms and lowest cost reasonably available.

3

4 5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

PUBLIC INTEREST

Q. How is the public interest satisfied by the Agreement?

A. The Agreement satisfies the public interest from RUCO's perspective in that it provides favorable terms and protections for residential consumers as defined above. The Agreement also satisfies the public interest by

 The Company agreed to adjust the amortization period of the M&I CAP charges from 20 years to 25 years. (Section 6.4)

- Compliance with Arizona Administrative Code Accumulated Depreciation balances will be maintained in accordance with the requirements as set forth in the Arizona Administrative Code. A depreciation study will be performed and accumulated depreciation balances will be maintained in accordance with Arizona's requirements and will be in place for all rate case filings with a test year ending in 2017 and thereafter. (Section 6.8)
- The Signatory Parties agree that AWC shall convert its Uniform System of Accounts ("USOA") to the 1996 version of NARUC USOA, but will continue using the current version until filing its next rate case that uses a 2017 test year.
- AWC agrees that going forward it will use its best good faith efforts to reduce its cost of debt, and that any future debt will be prudently incurred under the most reasonable terms and lowest cost reasonably available.

Direct Settlement Testimony of David Tenney
Arizona Water Company
Docket No. W-01445A-15-0277

providing a fair and balanced approach to addressing the Company's, and
all intervening parties, concerns on required costs and revenue.

Q. Does this conclude your testimony on the Agreement?

A. Yes it does.